



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,506	07/29/2003	Yogendra Shah	I-2-0371.1US	6720
24374	7590	07/19/2005		
VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C. DEPT. ICC UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600 30 SOUTH 17TH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			EXAMINER BEAMER, TEMICA M	
			ART UNIT 2681	PAPER NUMBER
DATE MAILED: 07/19/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

25

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/629,506	Applicant(s) SHAH ET AL.	
	Examiner Temica M. Beamer	Art Unit 2681	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 February 2005.
 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) ☒ Claim(s) 8-25 is/are allowed.
 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.
 7) ☒ Claim(s) 4-7 is/are objected to.
 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sheffield, U.S. Patent No. 6,603,966 in view of Cook et al (Cook), U.S. Patent No. 6,005,884.

Regarding claim 1, Sheffield discloses a system for providing high speed wireless data exchange, comprising: a cellular network comprising a plurality of base stations for communicating with a wireless transmit/receive unit (WTRU) (col. 3, lines 35-44); a device locator for determining the location of the WTRU (col. 4, lines 55-59); and at least one data pump, which supports high speed connection for wirelessly transferring information between said WTRU and said cellular network (col. 4, line 60-col. 5, line 8); and whereby said connection is automatically established when said

Art Unit: 2681

WTRU is within a certain range of said data pump (col. 3, lines 35-49, col. 4, line 55-col. 5, line 15).

Sheffield, however, fails to disclose wherein the data pump is provided as a separate unit from the base station.

In a similar field of endeavor, Cook discloses a distributed architecture for a wireless data communications system. Cook further discloses a data pump (i.e., repeater 18) which is located separately from a base station. The data pump is used to provide communication between a mobile unit and a base station (col. 9, lines 3-17).

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Sheffield with the teachings of Cook for the purpose of increasing the communication area.

Regarding claim 2, the combination of Sheffield and Cook discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the device locator includes a GPS receiver operatively associated with the WTRU (Sheffield, col. 5, lines 42-56).

4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sheffield, Cook and further in view of Bi et al (Bi), U.S. Patent No. 5,970,414.

Regarding claim 3, the combination of Sheffield and Cook discloses the system of claim 1 as described above. The combination, however, fails to disclose wherein the device locator receives triangulation data from the base stations, and resolves the triangulation data to determine a location of the WTRU.

In a similar field of endeavor, Bi discloses a method for estimating a mobile telephone's location. Bi further discloses wherein a mobile device is located by using triangulation techniques (col. 1, lines 7-33).

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the combination of Sheffield and Cook with the teachings of Bi for the purpose of accurately locating a mobile unit.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

6. Claims 8-25 are allowed.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not


Art Unit: 2681

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Temica M. Beamer whose telephone number is (571) 272-7797. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (alternate Fridays) 7:00am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on (571) 272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Temica M. Beamer
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2681

July 14, 2005